

1           **SANDERS LAW GROUP**  
2        Craig B. Sanders, Esq.  
3        333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Ste. 402  
4        Uniondale, New York 11553  
5        Tel: (516) 203-7600  
6        Email: [csanders@sanderslaw.group](mailto:csanders@sanderslaw.group)  
7        File No.: 125938

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Bill Wisser,

**Plaintiff,**

Case No:

V.

Schneps Media LLC,

## **COMPLAINT**

**Defendant.**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Bill Wisser (“*Plaintiff*”), by and through his undersigned counsel, for his Complaint against defendant Schneps Media LLC (“*Defendant*”) states and alleges as follows:

## INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement.

2. Plaintiff herein creates photographic images and owns the rights to these images Plaintiff licenses for various uses including online and print publications.

3. Defendant owns and operates a website known as [www.danspapers.com](http://www.danspapers.com) (the “Website”).

4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff actively copied, stored, and/or displayed Plaintiff's Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct

1 knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.  
2  
3

4 **PARTIES**  
5

6 5. Plaintiff Bill Wisser is an individual who is a citizen of the State of Florida and  
7 maintains a principal place of business at 535 NW 53rd Street, Miami in Miami-Dade County,  
8 Florida.  
9

10 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Schneps Media LLC is a New York  
11 Limited Liability Company with a principal place of business at 38-15 Bell Boulevard, Queens,  
12 New York 11361, and is liable and responsible to Plaintiff based on the facts herein alleged.  
13

14 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**  
15

16 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement  
17 claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.  
18

19 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Schneps Media LLC because it maintains  
20 its principal place of business in New York.  
21

22 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a)(2) because Schneps Media LLC does  
23 business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving  
24 rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.  
25

26 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**  
27

28 10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful owner  
of photographs which Plaintiff licenses to online and print publications.  
29

30 11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph  
31 portfolio.  
32

33 12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States  
34  
35

1 Copyright Office (the “USCO”) which cover many of Plaintiff’s photographs while many others  
2 are the subject of pending copyright applications.

3       13. Plaintiff’s photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns  
4 protectable copyright interests.

5       14. The Website is a popular and lucrative commercial enterprise.

6       15. The Website is monetized in that it contains paid advertisements and, upon  
7 information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.

8       16. On April 14, 2015, Plaintiff authored a photograph of Chef Nicolas Caicedo (the  
9 “Photograph”). A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

10       17. Plaintiff applied to the USCO to register the Photograph on July 31, 2017, under  
11 Application No. 1-5659161546.

12       18. The Photograph was registered by USCO on July 31, 2017, under Registration No.  
13 VA 2-061-261.

14       19. On March 23, 2022, Plaintiff first observed the Photograph on the Website in an  
15 article dated February 12, 2019. A copy of a screengrab of the Website displaying the Photograph  
16 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

17       20. The Photograph was displayed at URL:

18       <https://www.danspapers.com/2019/02/spaghetti-squash-cacio-e-pepe/>.

19       21. The Photograph was stored at URL: <https://www.danspapers.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Guest-Worthy-Nicolas-Caicedo.jpg>.

20       22. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally selected,  
21 copied, stored and/or displayed Plaintiff’s copyright protected Photograph as is set forth in Exhibit  
22 “1” on the Website.

1       23. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied, stored and displayed  
2 without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights (hereinafter the  
3 "Infringement").

4       24. The Infringement includes a URL ("Uniform Resource Locator") for a fixed  
5 tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be  
6 communicated for a period of more than transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific  
7 infringement. *17 U.S.C. §106(5); Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, 508 F.3d 1146, 1160 (9th  
8 Cir. 2007).

9       25. The Infringement is an exact copy of the entirety of Plaintiff's original image that  
10 was directly copied and stored by Defendant on the Website.

11       26. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the  
12 content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting,  
13 commenting on and/or displaying images including but not limited to Plaintiff's Photograph.

14       27. Upon information and belief, Defendant directly contributes to the content posted  
15 on the Website by, inter alia, directly employing reporters, authors and editors as its agents,  
16 including but not limited to Zachary Weiss, whereby the Website identifies him as Author  
17 ("Employees").

18       28. Upon information and belief, at all material times the Employees were acting within  
19 the course and scope of their employment when they posted the Infringement.

20       29. Upon information and belief, at all material times the Employees were acting within  
21 the course and scope of their agency when they posted the Infringement.

22       30. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and volitionally posted  
23 to the Website by Defendant.

1       31. Upon information and belief, Defendant is not registered with the United States  
2 Copyright Office pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §512.

3       32. Upon information and belief, the Infringement were not posted at the direction of a  
4 “user” as that term is defined in 17 U.S.C. §512(c).

5       33. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement knowingly  
6 and in violation of applicable United States Copyright Laws.

7       34. Upon information and belief, Defendant had complete control over and actively  
8 reviewed and monitored the content posted on the Website.

9       35. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control  
10 and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability to  
11 exercise such right.

12       36. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Website.

13       37. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly  
14 attributable to the Infringement.

15       38. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Website and,  
16 in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its advertising revenues and/or merchandise  
17 sales.

18       39. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful  
19 copies of the Photograph on the Website.

20       40. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the  
21 reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.

22       41. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential  
23 market for the Photograph.

42. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.

## **FIRST COUNT**

**(*Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.*)**

43. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.

44. The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns valid copyright properly registered with the United States Copyright Office.

45. Plaintiff has not licensed Defendant the right to use the Photograph in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyrights to Defendant.

46. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, and in willful violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, stored, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.

47. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the Photograph constitutes willful copyright infringement. *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).

48. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that they used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, the original and unique Photograph of the Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using them in the infringing articles on the Website.

49. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to any award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to

the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against each Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

50. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

51. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

## JURY DEMAND

52. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE** Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq. and award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright interest in the Photograph by copying and displaying without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against each Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;

- 1 c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any
- 2 infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- 3 d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant
- 4 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 and/or 17 U.S.C. §1203(b)(4) and (5);
- 5 e. for pre judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- 6 f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

8 DATED: February 9, 2023  
9

10 **SANDERS LAW GROUP**

11 By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders  
12 Craig B. Sanders, Esq.  
13 333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Ste. 402  
14 Uniondale, New York 11553  
15 Tel: (516) 203-7600  
16 Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group  
17 File No.: 125938

18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

